



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,401	02/22/2002	Kelly Daly Flynn	2071	1558

24963 7590 04/25/2003

ENERGY CONVERSION DEVICES, INC.  
2956 WATERVIEW DRIVE  
ROCHESTER HILLS, MI 48309

[REDACTED]  
EXAMINER  
BLACKWELL RUDASIL, GWENDOLYN A

[REDACTED]  
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  
1775

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/081,401	FLYNN, KELLY DALY
	Examiner Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill	Art Unit 1775

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ .
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                  2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ .
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                                   |

**DETAILED ACTION*****Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

*A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –*

*(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

*(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.*

3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over United States Patent no. 6,108,295, Ohno et al.

Ohno et al disclose an optical information recording medium that incorporates a phase change alloy used in an optical disk, (column 1, lines 5-29). The phase change alloy is used in the recording layer made of a thin film of  $My(Sb_xTe_{1-x})_{1-y}$  wherein  $0 \leq x \leq 0.3$ ,  $0.5 \leq y \leq 0.9$  and M can be In, meeting the requirements of claim 1, (column 4, lines 38-61). Absent evidence showing that the further limited amounts of x constitutes a critical range, the ranges as set forth in claims 2-4 and the formulas set forth in claims 5-7 do not provide patentable distinction over the prior art as the claimed ranges are encompassed by Ohno et al.

A chemical composition and it's properties are inseparable. *MPEP 2112.02*. Because the prior art exemplifies the applicant's claimed phase change allow formula, the claimed physical properties relating to the X-ray diffraction and sigma-to-dynamic range are inherently present in

Art Unit: 1775

the prior art. Absent an objective evidentiary showing to the contrary, the addition of the claimed physical properties to the claims fail to provide patentable distinction over the prior art meeting the requirements of claims 9- 19.

In the alternative, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make and use the same ranges of x and formulas as exemplified by Applicant, as those ranges and formulas fall within the phase change alloy as disclosed in the prior art. Absent a showing of criticality as to the particular ranges as claimed, the claimed ranges of x and the specific phase change alloy compositions do not provide patentable distinction over the prior art.

***Conclusion***

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

United States Patent Application Publication no. 2001/0036596, disclose a recording layer with the formula  $M_y(Sb_xTe_{1-x})_{1-y}$  wherein  $0 \leq y \leq 0.25$  and  $0.2 \leq x \leq 0.9$ , (Page 4, sections 0049-0056).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill whose telephone number is (703) 305-9741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday; 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1775

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill  
Examiner  
Art Unit 1775

*gbr*  
gbr

April 21, 2003

*Deborah Jones*  
DEBORAH JONES

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER